

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCY
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/800,448	(	03/15/2004	Steven Aoyama	B04-10	B04-10 1212		
40990	7590	08/17/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER		
ACUSHNE			HUNTER,	HUNTER, ALVIN A			
333 BRIDGE STREET P. O. BOX 965				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
FAIRHAVE	EN, MA	02719	3711				

DATE MAILED: 08/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	$\bigcap$ M				
	10/800,448	AOYAMA, STEVEN	Qi.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Alvin A. Hunter	3711					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 151	March 2004.						
<u>_</u>	is action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		secution as to the merit	s is				
closed in accordance with the practice under							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application	n.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.						
	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	∋ 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	21(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152	<u>≥</u> .				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the cert	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicati	on No					
application from the International Burea	•	tu III tilis National Stage					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	ed.					
	·						
Attachment(s)	Е						
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/15/2004</u> .		atent Application (PTO-152)					
	· <del></del>						

Art Unit: 3711

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Double Patenting**

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-12 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-54 of U.S. Patent No. 6749525. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because U.S. Patent No. 6749525 claims the same subject matter. Though the claims are not exactly the same, U.S. Patent No. 6749525 anticipates the instant application.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alvin A. Hunter whose telephone number is 703-306-5693. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30AM to 4:00PM Eastern Time.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/800,448

Art Unit: 3711

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Vidovich, can be reached on 703-308-1513. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-

872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

HAA

Alvin A. Hunter, Jr.

GREGORY VIDOVICH
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700